

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6300 Seattle WA 98104-7092 USA Facsirnile: (206) 682-6031 Telephone: (206) 622-4900 www.seedlaw.com

Jane E. R. Potter janep@seedlaw.com

March 30; 2001

please deliver immediately

Facsimile Transmission		_10 page	10 pages including this page	
	Minh Tam Art Unit 1642 Fax No: 703-308-4426 Phone No:	cc:		
RE:	U.S. Patent Application No. 09/030,606 Your Reference:			
	Our Reference: 210121.428C3			
If	you do not receive all pages, please call S of	Sharon Sheridan at (2 Tice.	206) 62:2-4900 or fax our	
Tran	smission Information: Date	Time	Ву	
Tran	smission Information: Date	Time	Ву	
_				

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and/or confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named below. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this facsimile or its content is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original facsimile message to us by mail or destroy it without making a copy. Thank you.



PATIENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby certify that on the date specified below, this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231

Sharon Sheridan

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants

Jiangchun Xu and Davin C. Dillon

Application No.

09/030,606

Filed

February 25, 1998

For

COMPOUNDS FOR IMMUNODIAGNOSIS OF

PROSTATE CANCER AND METHODS FOR THEIR USE

Examiner

Minh Tam

Art Unit

1642

Docket No.

210121.42803

Date

March 25, 2001

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

COMMUNICATION TO THE EXAMINER

Dear Sir:

In a telephone conference with the applicants' representatives on March 22, 2001, the Examiner indicated that, while she would be willing to allow claims to methods of detecting prostate cancer using oligonucleotides specific for SEQ ID NO:111 (referred to as N1-1862), she would not be willing to allow claims to methods employing oligonucleotides specific for SEQ ID NO:110, 115, 172-175, 177, 223 or 224, since applicants have not demonstrated over-expression of these sequences in prostate tumor tissue compared to normal prostate tissue.

As stated in the Amendment and Reply filed March 29, 2000, and the Amendment and Reply filed January 2, 2001, it is the applicants' position that it is not necessary for a sequence to be prostate-tumor specific in order for it to be useful in the diagnosis of prostate cancer. As evidenced, by the attached declaration of Dr. Davin Dillon, prostate cells are only able to enter the blood stream when an individual is inflicted with prostate cancer. Thus it is sufficient for a sequence to be prostate-specific in order for it to be effectively employed in the diagnosis of prostate cancer. Applicants' position is further supported by the attached declaration of Dr. Raymond Houghton, which describes studies determining the expression levels of the antigen P501S (SEQ ID NO:110) in blood samples from prostate cancer patients and from normal donors, and the expression levels of the antigen P703P in blood from SCID mice transplanted with prostate tumor and from normal mice. SEQ ID NO:172-175 and 177 represent splice variants of P703P. As stated in the declaration, significantly higher levels of P501S were found in blood from prostate cancer patients compared to normal donors. Similarly, expression of P703P was observed in blood obtained from the SCID mice but not in blood from the control mouse. These results clearly support the applicants' position that prostate-specific sequences may be usefully employed in the detection of prostate cancer.

The specification clearly states on page 29, lines 14-19, that the antigens of SEQ ID NO: 223 and 224 (referred to as P509S and P510S) were found to be over-expressed in prostate tumor and normal prostate compared to all other normal tissues tested as determined by microarray technology. Similarly, using real-time PCR, Northern analysis and micro-array technology, SEQ ID NO:110 and 111 were found to be expressed at significantly higher levels in prostate tumor and normal prostate compared to other normal tissues tested (see Example 2, page 29, line 26-page 32, line 2). It is urged that one of skill in the art to which the present invention pertains, on being provided with the instant specification, would clearly be able to employ oligonucleotides specific for SEQ ID NO:110, 11, 115, 173-175, 177, 223 and 224 to diagnose the presence of prostate cancer and that the rejections of the claims under 35 USC §101 and under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, may be properly withdrawn.

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of all the pending claims is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any further concerns regarding the pending claims, she is respectfully requested to telephone the applicants' representative.

Respectfully submitted,

SEED Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC

Jane E. R. Potter

Registration No. 33,332

JEP:sds

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6300 Seattle, Washington 98104-7092 Phone: (206) 622-4900

Fax: (206) 682-6031

U:\sharons\210121\428